

Figure 1. Left: Stereoview representation of the structure of 7 , showing binding of the cluster and the aaaaab conformation of legs ( $\bullet=\mathrm{Fe}$ ). Right: Structure of the cluster portion of 7. Mean values ( $\AA$, deg) of selected structural parameters: $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Cl}, 2.226$ ( 2 ); $\mathrm{Fe} \cdot \mathrm{F}$. $\mathrm{Fe}, 2.766$ (1); $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}, 2.287$ (2); $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{C}) .2 .261$ (2); S-C, 1.772 (6); S-Fe-S, 103.64 (9); Fe-S-Fe, 74.41 (7).
is $3.74 \AA$ from the centroid of the central ring, $\sim 0.3 \AA$ beyond van der Waals contact. Cluster dimensions are normal, and the only apparent ligand structural effect is suppression of the usual core tetragonal distortion of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{~L}_{4}\right]^{2-.}$. The ligand has the unprecedented $a a a a a b$ conformation.

In DMF solution cluster 7 exhibits $\lambda_{\max }\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{M}}\right)=480(\mathrm{sh}, 10000)$ and two one-electron reductions at -1.03 (reversible) and -1.80 V (irreversible) vs. SCE. A potential separation $\Delta E \approx 0.75 \mathrm{~V}$ assures the presence of a $\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ cluster. ${ }^{17}$ Further, 7 shows one set of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals ${ }^{18}$ indicative of a single species with effective trigonal symmetry. In contrast, $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{SPh})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{19}$ displays four meta H signals in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(8.1-8.4$ $\mathrm{ppm}),{ }^{12 a}$ consistent with statistical disproportionation to $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}{ }^{-}\right.$ (SPh) $\left.)_{4-n} \mathrm{Cl}_{n}\right]^{2-}(n=0-3)$. Reactions $1-6\left(\mathrm{R}=2,6-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ in situ, conducted stoichiometrically and monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{12 \mathrm{a}}$ have been shown to proceed with conversions of $>90 \%$. Thiolate groups are readily detected by their characteristic shifts: 13.2 ppm $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right)$ in 6 and $8.34 \mathrm{ppm}(m e t a \mathrm{H})$ in 8 . The spectra of 6 and 8 also consist of a single set of signals. Given the sensitivity of isotropically shifted cluster resonances to structural differences, ${ }^{20}$ we conclude that in solution 6-8 possess trigonal symmetry. This requires a conformational change of two $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ legs to generate ababab. Rotational barriers may be low inasmuch as $\mathrm{C}_{6}(\mathrm{~S}-2-$ $\left.\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{6}(9$, two conformers: $a a b b a b+a a a b b b)$ and $4(a a b a a b)$, whose indicated conformations have been established by X-ray crystallography, ${ }^{21}$ show ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra ( $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $210-300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) consistent with trigonal symmetry.

These results demonstrate that a $\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ cluster can be mounted on the semirigid tridentate ligand 5 with cavity occupancy and that the differentiated subsite is susceptible to high-yield substitution reacitons. These are the first subsite-specific reactions of synthetic $\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ clusters. Cluster 7 in particular appears to be a potentially suitable vehicle for expression of the protein structural and reactivity features noted at the outset. Ligand 5 should accommodate the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ cubane fragment (conceivably obtainable by oxidative removal of the unique subsite) proposed for protein sites $^{22.23}$ and is designed so as not to stabilize the alternative linear $\mathrm{Fe}_{3}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{4}$ unit found in the synthetic clusters $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{SR}_{4}\right]^{3-24}$ and

[^0]the unfolded form of aconitase. ${ }^{25}$ These matters will be the subjects of future reports.
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The cyclization of vinyl acetylene to methylene-substituted five-membered rings has been described by Stork and Mook. ${ }^{1}$ We have studied this reaction further and report that trialkylborane mediates a facile addition of $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ to an acetylenic bond to give vinylstannane regioselectively, and this new method is applied to vinyl radical cyclization reactions ${ }^{2.3}$ effectively.

The hydrostannation of acetylenes ${ }^{4}$ takes place readily either in the absence of a catalyst or in the presence of a catalytic amount of free radical initiator such as azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN), ${ }^{5}$ but these reaction conditions (without solvent, heat to $80-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

[^1]Table 1. Triethylborane-Induced Hydrostannation of Acetylenes ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$


I
II

| entry | substrate |  | reagent | reaction time, h | Y, \% | product ratio I:II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $n-\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21}$ | H | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 0.3 | 80 | 79:21 |
| 2 |  |  | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 2.0 | 40 | 80:20 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 0.3 | 79 | 69:31 |
| 4 |  |  | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 10 | 71 | 90:10 |
| 5 | THPOCH $2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 0.3 | 81 | 80:20 |
| 6 |  |  | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 2.0 | 49 | 90:10 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 0.3 | 87 | 82:18 |
| 8 |  |  | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 2.0 | 40 | 69:31 |
| 9 | Ph | H | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 0.3 | 75 | 100:0 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ | H | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 0.3 | $83^{b}$ | 100:0 |
| 11 | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 10 | $86^{\text {c }}$ | 0:100 |
| 12 | Ph | Me | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | 1.0 | 74 | 25:75 |

${ }^{a}$ Acetylene ( 1.0 mmol ), $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were employed, ${ }^{b}$ Excess of (trimethylsilyl)acetylene ( 5.0 mmol ) and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ ( 1.0 mmol ) was employed, and the yield was based on $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$. ${ }^{c}$ Excess of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was used.
may not always be suitable for an intramolecular radical cyclization reaction. ${ }^{3 f}$

We have found that an addition of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ to a solution of acetylenic compound and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ (or $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ ) in toluene promotes the formation of vinylstannanes effectively. A typical procedure is as follows. A hexane solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}^{6}$ (1.0 $\mathrm{M}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 1 -dodecyne ( 0.17 $\mathrm{g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenyltin hydride $(0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(8.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After stirring for 20 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate 3 times. Combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residual oil was submitted to preparative TLC on silica gel to give vinylstannane as a mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$ -1-(triphenylstannyl)-1-dodecene $(0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ yield, $E / Z=79 / 21)$. The representative results are summarized in Table I. Triphenylstannyl group adds to terminal acetylenic carbon regioselectively but nonstereoselectively to give a mixture of $(E)$ - and ( $Z$ )-1-(triphenylstannyl)-1-alkenes. The $E / Z$ ratios of double bonds were generally $8 / 2$ to $7 / 3^{7}$ and were not affected by solvents. The ratios of ( $E$ )-1-(triphenylstannyl)-1-dodecene and the $Z$ isomer were $79 / 21,80 / 20,77 / 23$, and $63 / 37$ in toluene, benzene, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and THF, respectively. Phenylacetylene and (trimethylsilyl)acetylene provided ( $E$ )-vinylstannanes exclusively. An addition of $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ had longer reaction time and gave the corresponding vinylstannanes in poor yields.

The reaction was successfully applied to the radical cyclization reaction shown in eq 1-4. ${ }^{8}$ The concentration of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ affected the yield and distribution of the products. Uncyclized product

[^2]
## Scheme I







i) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 11) Dihydropyran, Ts OH iii) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiC}=\mathrm{CLi}$ iv) $\mathrm{KF} / \mathrm{DMSO}$ v) $\mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}_{2} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ vil $\mathrm{CrO}_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{Py}$ vil) ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlH}$ viii) $\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{MeOH}$
was obtained in addition to the cyclized desired compound in a higher concentration. For instance, the compound 1a gave cyclized product 2 a exclusively at 0.012 M concentration of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, while, at 0.30 M concentration, $\mathbf{2 a}$ and uncyclized product $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{MeCH}=\mathrm{CHSnPh}_{3}$ were obtained in $60 \%$ and $15 \%$ yield, respectively. ${ }^{9}$ It is worth noting that the serious limitation, nonstereoselectivity shown in Table I, was overcome in these cyclization reactions and the cyclized products consist of only $Z$ isomer without contamination by the other stereoisomer. ${ }^{10}$ The compound $4 d^{11}$ derived from $4 a$ by de-
(9) Heating a mixture of 1 a and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ without solvent at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h gave a complex mixture consisting of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-vinylstannanes $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{MeCH}=\mathrm{CHSnPh}_{3}, 46 \%\right)$, regioisomer $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{MeC}\left(\mathrm{SnPh}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 9 \%\right)$, and the desired cyclized product 2 a ( $38 \%$ yield).
(10) The compounds $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}, 6$, and 8 showed one signal each for olefinic protons on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra and also on ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ NMR. The formation of a single isomer may be explained by assuming the equilibrium between $A$ and B. The intermediate A cyclized more readily than B.

(11) The physical data for the compounds 4 a and 4 d are as follows. 4a: bp $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temp, 0.2 torr); IR (neat) $3012,2922,1429,1074,726,697$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.3-7.8$ (m, 15 H ); ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ NMR $\delta-142.9$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{OSn}: \mathrm{C}, 65.72$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.94$. Found: C, $65.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.82$. 4d: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.88$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95$ (m, 1 H$), 5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.



$$
\begin{aligned}
a: R & =H \quad R^{1}=R^{2}=M e \\
X & =\operatorname{SnPr}_{3} \quad Y=H \quad(Y, 78 \%)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { D: } R=H \quad R^{1}=H \quad R^{2}={ }^{n} C_{3} H_{7}
$$

$$
X=\mathrm{SnPh}_{3} \quad Y=H \quad(Y, 85 \%)
$$

$$
C: R=n_{B U} R^{1}=R^{2}=M e
$$

$$
X=\mathrm{SnPh}_{3} \quad Y=H \quad(Y .69 \%, 64 / 36)
$$

$$
d: R=H \quad R^{1}=R^{2}=M e \quad X=H O \quad Y=H b
$$

$$
e: R=H \quad R^{1}=R^{2}=\operatorname{Me} \quad X=S n P r_{z} ; \quad Y=D
$$

$$
f: R=H \quad R^{1}=R^{2}=M e \quad X=H \quad Y=D
$$


stannylation $\left(n-\mathrm{BuLi} /\right.$ THF, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right){ }^{12}$ showed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals at $\delta 5.00(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ha})$ and $4.95(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Hb})$. Treatment of the deuteriated acetylene 3a ( $\mathrm{DC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ ) with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ followed by destannylation provided 4f, whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed only one signal in the olefinic region at $\delta 4.99$. The complete disappearance of the higher field signal is consistent with a formation of single stereoisomer $4 \mathrm{e} .{ }^{13}$ The compounds $1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ and 3c provided cis-trans stereoisomeric mixtures concerning the
(12) (Triphenylstannyl)alkenes were easily transformed into alkenyllithium as (trialkylstannyl)alkenes following the procedure described in ref 5 .
(13) The structure of the cyclized product was also confirmed as follows. Treatment of $3\left(R=R^{1}=R^{2}=H\right)$ with our new method provided $4(32 \%$ yield) along with six-membered-ring product 3-(triphenylstannyl)methylenetetrahydropyran ( $45 \%$ ). The vinylstannane 4 was converted into vinylsilane by treatment with $n$ - BuLi and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$, which was identical with the sample prepared from allyl (trimethylsilyl) propargyl ether following Negishi's procedure (Negishi, E.; Cederbaum, F. E.; Takahashi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 2827. Negishi, E.; Holmes, S. J.; Tour, J. M.; Miller, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2568).

substituents on a five-membered ring. In contrast, the compound 7 gave trans isomer $8^{14}$ as a single product.

Scheme I illustrates the synthesis of dehydroiridodiol and isodehydroiridodiol. The triethylborane-induced triphenyltin radical addition-cyclization process provided vinylstannane 11 (84\%) starting from readily available propargylic alcohol 10. Collins oxidation of 11 gave 12 ( $54 \%$ ). ${ }^{15}$ Diisobutylaluminum hydride reduction followed by treatment with $p$ - TsOH provided a mixture of dehydroiridodiol ( $3 R^{*}, 8 S^{*}$ ) and isodehydroiridodiol ( $3 R^{*}, 8 R^{*}$ ) $(26 / 74,58 \%$ overall yield from 12$),{ }^{16}$ which was easily separated by preparative TLC on silica gel.

The reaction was not so effective for the formation of a sixmembered ring. For instance, treatment of $\mathrm{HC} \equiv$ $\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ gave the desired cyclized product in only $28 \%$ yield along with uncyclized vinylstannane (49\%). An addition of galvinoxyl to a reaction mixture of 1 -dodecyne, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ resulted in a recovery of the acetylene (73\%). ${ }^{17,18}$
(14) 8: bp $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temp, 0.1 torr); IR (neat) $3062,2958,1619,1429$, $1075,727,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. $2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.3-7.8(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ NMR $\delta-142.6$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{OSn}: \mathrm{C}, 66.29: \mathrm{H}, 6.18$. Found: C, 66.43; H, 6.29.
(15) 12: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.72(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, total 3 H$), 1.4-2.0$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{brs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.2-2.7(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.0-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.5-4.7(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(16) Sakai, T.; Nakajima, K.; Yoshihara, K.; Sakan. T.; Isoe, S. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 3115 . Kimura, H.; Miyamoto, S.; Shinkai, H.; Kato, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1982, 30, 723.
(17) The organoboranes are known to be excellent sources of free radicals.

Brown, H. C.; Midland, M. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1972, I1, 692.
(18) After this work was completed we were informed by Professor G.

Stork that he has reached a similar cyclization reaction. We thank Prof. G
Stork for giving us information prior to publication.
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Hydroxylamine oxidoreductase from Nitrosomonas europeae catalyses the oxidative conversion of $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ to $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-2}$. The enzyme, which has an $(\alpha, \beta)_{3}$ subunit containing seven-eight c-type hemes contains also an unusual prosthetic group, termed P460. This P460 center is also found in a $M_{\mathrm{r}} \simeq 17000$ protein fragment. Mössbauer spectra of the reduced P460 groups in hydroxylamine oxidoreductase and the fragment exhibit nearly identical quad-

Table 1. Electronic Spectra of Complexes 1, 2, and 3 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Chlorobenzene

|  | $\lambda_{\mathrm{m}}(\log \epsilon), \mathrm{nm}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mid \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3} \mathrm{TP}_{\text {pir }} \mathrm{P}^{-} \mathbf{1}\right.$ |  |
| $\left\|\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{p} i v} \mathrm{P}\right\|^{-} \mathbf{2}$ | $456(4.83), 580(3.86), 622(3.71)$ |
| $\left\|\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{piv}} \mathrm{P}\right\|^{-} \mathbf{3}$ | $450(5.32), 572(4.22), 611(3.81)$ |


[^0]:    (17) DePamphilis, B. V.; Averill, B. A.; Herskovitz, T.; Que, L., Jr.; Holm, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 4159.
    (18) $\mathrm{R}_{1}, 2.22$ (p-Me), 6.81 (meta H), 7.12 (ortho H ); $\mathrm{R}_{2}, 3.86$ (4-Me), $3.88(2-\mathrm{Me}), 5.05(\mathrm{br}, 6-\mathrm{H}) .8 .22(3-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
    (19) Kanatzidis, M. G.; Baenziger, N. C.; Coucouvanis, D.; Simopoulos, A.; Kostikas, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4500.
    (20) Reynolds, J. G.; Laskowski, E. J.; Holm, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, $100,5315$.
    (21) Stack, T. D. P.; Holm, R. H., unpublished results.
    (22) Beinert, H.: Emptage, M. H.; Dreyer, J.-L.; Scott, R. A.; Hahn, J. E.; Hodgson, K. O.: Thomson, A. J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1983, 80 , 393.
    (23) Girerd, J.-J.: Papaefthymiou, G. C.; Watson, A. D.; Gamp, E.; Hagen, K. S.; Edelstein, N.; Frankel, R. B.: Holm, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5941.
    (24) Hagen. K. S.: Watson, A. D.; Holm, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3905.

[^1]:    (1) Stork, G. Selectivity-A Goal for Synthetic Efficiency; Bartmann, W., Trost, B. M., Eds.; Verlag Chemie: Weinheim, 1984; p 281. Stork, G.; Mook, R., Jr. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 4529. See also: Stork, G.; Mook, R., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.
    (2) Free radical reactions have been used increasingly in recent years for the synthesis of organic molecules. Reviews: (a) Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D.; Motherwell, W. B. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3901. (b) Giese, B.; Horler, H. Ibid. 1985, 41, 4025. (c) Hart, D. Science (Washington, DC) 1984, 223, 883. (d) Kraus, G. A.; Landgrebe, K. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 4039.
    (3) (a) Stork, G.; Baine, N. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2321. (b) Stork, G.; Mook, R., Jr. Ibid. 1983, 105, 3720 . (c) Stork, G.; Sher, P. M. Ibid. 1983, 105, 6765. (d) Porter, N. A.; Magnin, D. R.: Wright, B. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2787. (e) Curran, D. P.; Chen, M.-H.; Kim, D. Ibid. 1986, 108, 2489. (f) High dilution favors the intramolecular radical cyclization. Ueno, Y.; Chino, K.; Okawara, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2575. See, however: Stork, G.; Mook, R., Jr., communication in this issue.
    (4) (a) Leusink, A. J.; Budding, H. A.; Marsman. J. W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1967, 9, 285. (b) Leusink, A. J.; Budding, H. A.: Drenth, W. Ibid. 1967, 9, 295.
    (5) Corey, E. J.; Ulrich, P.; Fitzpatrick, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 222. Corey, E. J.; Wollenberg, R. H. J. Org. Chem. 1975. 40, 2265.

[^2]:    (6) $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and $\left(n-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ were as effective as $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$. We thank Toyo Stauffer Chemical Company for a gift of a hexane solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(1.0 \mathrm{M})$.
    (7) In the case of uncatalyzed hydrostannation, the $E / Z$ ratios depend on the reaction temperature as described in ref 5 . Heating a mixture of 1 -dodecyne and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h gave a mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)-1-$ (triphenylstannyl)-1-dodecene ( $E / Z=22 / 78$ ) in $53 \%$ combined yield. A mixture of $E$ and $Z$ isomer ( $E / Z=75 / 25,65 \%$ yield) was obtained after 5 $h$ at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
    (8) Transformation of $1 a$ into $2 a$ is representative. A hexane solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.42$ $\mathrm{g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the acetylene $1 \mathrm{a}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After stirring for 3 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate. Purification by preparative TLC on silica gel gave the cyclized product 2a ( $0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ yield) as a stereoisomeric mixture ( $78 / 22$ ): IR (neat) 3566 , $3058,2954,1428,1195,1073,727,698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.2-2.1$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, for minor compound), $6.10(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, for major compound, total 1 H ), $7.25-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ NMR $\delta-147.8$ (minor), -150.2 (major). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{OSn}$ : C , $66.83, \mathrm{H}, 6.41$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.34$. In the case of $\mathbf{1 b}$, six-mem-bered-ring product, 1 -methyl-2-(triphenylstannyl) methylene-1-cyclohexanol, was also obtained ( $31 \%$ yield) in addition to $\mathbf{2 b}$.

